



International Journal of Sanskrit Research

ॐ

ISSN: 2394-7519

IJSR 2025, 11(5): 210-216

© 2025 IJSR

www.anantaajournal.com

Received: 24-08-2025

Accepted: 29-09-2025

Dr. Girishkumar K Solanki
Government Arts College,
Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

Sanskrit literature and the scientific development in India

Girishkumar K Solanki

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.22271/23947519.2025.v11.i5d.2818>

Abstract

Sanskrit literature represents one of the richest intellectual traditions in human history, serving as both a repository of India's cultural identity and a foundation for early scientific inquiry. This paper explores the deep interconnections between Sanskrit scholarship and the development of scientific thought in ancient and medieval India. Through an examination of seminal works in mathematics, astronomy, medicine, and engineering, it highlights how the Sanskrit intellectual tradition nurtured empirical reasoning, systematic observation, and theoretical abstraction long before the rise of modern science. The discussion traces India's pioneering achievements such as the invention of the decimal numeral system and zero, the formulation of algebraic and trigonometric principles by Aryabhata and Bhāskara, and advances in surgery and anatomy by Sushruta and Charaka. The study further considers how British colonial attitudes toward Indian culture ranging from early curiosity and translation to later denigration affected the recognition and transmission of this knowledge. Finally, it situates the decline of scientific progress in the colonial and postcolonial periods within historical and geographical contexts, suggesting that rediscovering India's scientific heritage through Sanskrit sources can inspire renewed engagement with rational inquiry and innovation in the modern era.

Keyword: Sanskrit literature, ancient indian science, aryabhata, bhāskara, sushruta, charaka, decimal system, astronomy, ayurveda, comparative philology, colonialism and knowledge, scientific heritage, indian intellectual history

Introduction

Friends, It is a great honour to be invited to speak at this prestigious University, which has produced many eminent scholars of national and international repute. It is equally an honour to be in Varanasi a city that has served as a luminous center of Indian culture and learning for thousands of years. The topic I have chosen for today's address is "Sanskrit Literature and the Scientific Development in India." I have selected this subject because we live in an age of science, and our nation's progress depends upon the widespread adoption of a scientific outlook among our people. India today faces numerous social, economic, and cultural challenges. In my view, these problems can be resolved only through the advancement of science and by science, I mean not merely the natural sciences such as physics, chemistry, or biology, but the entire scientific temperament: a way of thinking that is logical, rational, and questioning. To be *modern* does not mean wearing a suit and tie or fashionable clothes. A person may be dressed in Western attire and yet remain mentally backward. Modernity, in its true sense, is a state of mind one that values reason, evidence, and inquiry.

The Foundation of Indian Culture: Sanskrit

The foundation of Indian civilization rests on the Sanskrit language. Unfortunately, there is a widespread misconception that Sanskrit is only a liturgical language used for chanting mantras in temples and rituals. In reality, such religious texts form less than five percent of Sanskrit literature. Over ninety-five percent of Sanskrit works are secular, covering subjects such as philosophy, law, science, mathematics, grammar, logic, phonetics, literary criticism, and more. Sanskrit was, in fact, the language of *free thinkers* scholars who questioned everything and explored the full range of human thought. It was the medium through which the great scientists of ancient India expressed their discoveries. While today India may lag behind Western nations in science and technology, there was once a time when India led the world in

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Girishkumar K Solanki
Government Arts College,
Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

Knowledge. Awareness of our scientific heritage can inspire us to reclaim that intellectual leadership. The word “Sanskrit” literally means “refined,” “perfected,” or “cultivated.” It was rightly called the “Devavani” the language of the gods for its unparalleled precision, depth, and beauty. It was the language of philosophers, scientists, mathematicians, poets, dramatists, grammarians, and jurists. In grammar, the works of Panini (*Ashtadhyayi*) and Patanjali (*Mahabhashya*) remain unsurpassed. In astronomy and mathematics, the contributions of Aryabhata, Brahmagupta, and Bhaskara opened new frontiers of knowledge. In medicine, Charaka and Sushruta laid the foundations of Ayurveda. In philosophy, thinkers such as Gautama (founder of the Nyaya system), Kapila (founder of the Sankhya system), Ashvaghosha, Brihaspati, and Shankaracharya presented the widest spectrum of views from deeply spiritual to rigorously atheistic. Jaimini’s Mimamsa Sutras developed an entire system of logical and textual interpretation, which influenced not only religion but also law, grammar, and philosophy. In literature, Sanskrit has produced immortal works from Kalidasa’s *Shakuntala*, *Meghaduta*, and *Malavikagnimitra* to Bhavabhuti’s *Malatimadhava* and *Uttararamacharita*, and the great epics of Valmiki and Vyasa. These masterpieces sustained the flame of learning and imagination in India for millennia. In this address, however, I shall confine myself mainly to the scientific dimension of Sanskrit literature.

India as a Civilization of Immigrants

Before proceeding further, let me make a brief digression that will, I believe, help us better understand the development of science and culture in India. India is essentially a land of old immigrants. While North America (the United States and Canada) consists of *new immigrants* who arrived mainly from Europe during the last four or five centuries, India’s population is composed of *old immigrants* who came over the past ten thousand years mostly from the north-west, and to a lesser extent from the north-east. People migrate from uncomfortable to comfortable regions. Before the Industrial Revolution, most societies were agrarian, and India was a paradise for agriculture with its flat and fertile plains, abundant water, and temperate climate. It offered an ideal environment for settled life. As the poet Firaq Gorakhpuri beautifully wrote: “Sar-zamin-e-Hind par aqwaam-e-alam ke firaq, Kafila guzarte gaye, Hindustan banta gaya.” (*In the land of Hind, the caravans of the peoples of the world kept arriving and India kept being formed.*) The original inhabitants of India were likely the pre-Dravidian aboriginal tribes, whose descendants today include the Munda-speaking peoples of Chota Nagpur, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Odisha, and West Bengal, as well as the Todas of the Nilgiris and other Adivasi communities. They constitute roughly 7-8% of the population. The remaining 92-93% are descendants of successive waves of immigrants. This long history of migration explains India’s enormous diversity of languages, religions, cultures, and physical features a diversity unmatched anywhere in the world.

Agriculture and the Growth of Thought:

India’s geographical conditions fertile land, rivers, forests, and a favourable climate made it ideal for agriculture. It is only in agricultural societies that art, philosophy, and science can flourish. In hunting societies, survival leaves no leisure for abstract thought. But agriculture provides stability and free time, enabling humans to engage in reflection, discussion, and invention. In ancient India, this intellectual freedom was evident in the Shastrartha’s great public debates

where scholars discussed philosophical and scientific ideas before large audiences. Thousands of Sanskrit texts were written on diverse subjects though sadly, only a fraction have survived the ravages of time.

The Role of Sanskrit in Scientific Development:

Two great contributions of Sanskrit to the progress of science in ancient India deserve special mention:

1. A Language of Unparalleled Precision

Through the genius of Panini, Classical Sanskrit attained a structure of extraordinary precision, logical coherence, and expressive power. Such a language was ideally suited for scientific discourse. Science requires clarity and exactness, and Sanskrit provided this in abundance. It allowed complex ideas to be expressed systematically and unambiguously qualities essential for rigorous reasoning.

2. A Written Medium for Abstract Thought

While the spoken language is the first tool of communication, true intellectual and scientific development demands a written language. Writing allows thoughts to be clarified, organized, and logically structured much like a mathematical proof, where each step follows logically from the previous one. Without a precise written form, ideas remain vague and unrefined. Sanskrit fulfilled this need perfectly, providing scholars a medium through which they could record, refine, and transmit knowledge across generations.

Sanskrit Literature and the Development of Science in India

In fact, Sanskrit is not a single, uniform language; there are several stages of Sanskrit. What we refer to as Sanskrit today is actually Panini’s Sanskrit, also known as Classical or Laukik Sanskrit. This is the form taught in our schools and universities today, and it is the language in which all our ancient Indian scientists composed their great works. However, earlier forms of Sanskrit existed prior to Panini, which were somewhat different from the classical form. The earliest Sanskrit work is the Rig Veda, probably composed around 2000 B.C. It was transmitted orally from generation to generation, memorized in the Gurukul system by pupils who would repeat verses recited by their teachers. The Rig Veda, the most sacred of Hindu scriptures, consists of 1,028 hymns (richas) addressed to various nature deities such as Indra, Agni, Surya, Soma, and Varuna. Like all living languages, Sanskrit evolved over time. For example, modern readers often find Shakespeare’s English difficult to understand without commentary, because English has changed significantly since the 16th century many words and expressions once common are now obsolete. Similarly, Sanskrit changed gradually from around 2000 B.C. (the age of the Rig Veda) until about 500 B.C. when Panini systematized the language. In the 5th century B.C., the great grammarian Panini, arguably the greatest grammarian in human history, composed his monumental work, the *Ashtadhyayi* (Book of Eight Chapters). In this text, Panini formulated the grammatical rules of Sanskrit with such precision and logical rigor that no major changes were needed thereafter except for minor refinements by two later scholars: Katyayana, who authored the *Vartikas*, and Patanjali, who wrote the celebrated commentary *Mahabhashya*. Thus, the Sanskrit we know today is essentially Panini’s Sanskrit, or Classical Sanskrit. Panini’s achievement lay in his deep study of the spoken and literary language of his time. He then refined, purified, and systematized it into a language of extraordinary logic, precision, and elegance a perfect instrument for expressing

complex scientific and philosophical ideas. His work also helped standardize Sanskrit across India, allowing scholars from different regions north, south, east, and west to communicate with ease. To illustrate Panini's scientific approach, let us consider one example. In English, the alphabet from A to Z is arranged arbitrarily; there is no logical reason why F follows E or why Q follows P. By contrast, Panini, in the first fourteen Sūtras of his grammar, arranged the Sanskrit sounds (varṇas) in a highly systematic and scientific order, based on careful observation of human phonetics. For instance, vowels such as *a*, *ā*, *i*, *ī*, *u*, *ū*, *e*, *ai*, *o*, and *au* are ordered according to the part of the mouth involved in their articulation: *a* and *ā* from the throat, *i* and *ī* from the palate, *u* and *ū* from the lips, and so on. Similarly, consonants are grouped in five logical series (vargas), each corresponding to a distinct place of articulation:

- Ka-varga (ka, kha, ga, gha, ṅa) - guttural, produced in the throat
- Cha-varga (cha, chha, ja, jha, ṇa) - palatal
- Ta-varga (ṭa, ṭha, ḍa, ḍha, ṇa) - cerebral
- Ta-varga (ta, tha, da, dha, na) - dental
- Pa-varga (pa, pha, ba, bha, ma) - labial

No other language in the world has its sounds arranged in such a rational, phonetic sequence, reflecting the remarkable analytical precision of ancient Indian scholars. Panini's Classical Sanskrit is thus distinguished from the earlier Vedic Sanskrit, which was the language of the Vedas.

The Structure of the Vedas

To understand Panini's role fully, it is useful to briefly explain what the word "Veda" means. The Veda, also called Shruti, consists of four main components: Samhita (Mantra) - The four collections of hymns: Rigveda, Yajurveda, Samaveda, and Atharvaveda. The term *Samhita* means "collection." The Rigveda is a collection of hymns (*richas*). The Samaveda sets many Rigvedic verses to music. About two-thirds of the Yajurveda's verses are derived from the Rigveda. The Atharvaveda is sometimes considered a later addition. Brahmanas - Prose texts explaining the rituals and methods for performing various yajnas (sacrifices). Each Samhita has its own Brahmana, e.g.:

- *Aitareya* and *Kaushitaki Brahmana* (Rigveda)
- *Tandya Brahmana* (Samaveda)
- *Shatapatha Brahmana* (White Yajurveda)
- *Taittiriya Brahmana* (Black Yajurveda)
- *Gopatha Brahmana* (Atharvaveda)
- **Aranyakas:** "Forest treatises," written for hermits and forest dwellers, containing early philosophical reflections.
- **Upanishads:** The final stage of Vedic thought, presenting developed philosophical ideas about the nature of reality, the self, and ultimate truth.

Collectively, these four the Samhitas, Brahmanas, Aranyakas, and Upanishads are known as the Vedas or Shruti.

The evolution of Sanskrit can be seen through these texts: the language of the Brahmanas differs from that of the Samhitas, the Aranyakas differ from the Brahmanas, and the Upanishads are closest to Panini's Classical Sanskrit. After Panini, almost all non-Vedic Sanskrit literature including epics like the *Ramayana* and *Mahabharata*, the *Puranas*, and the works of poets like Kalidasa was composed in conformity with Panini's grammar. Even earlier compositions were edited to match his grammatical standards, except for a few words (called

Apashabdas or Apabhramshas) which could not be incorporated into his system. However, the language of the Rigveda was considered sacred and thus could not be altered. It was preserved entirely through oral tradition, never written down in antiquity. Hence, while Vedic Sanskrit remained untouched, about 99% of all Sanskrit literature which is non-Vedic was standardized according to Panini's system, ensuring uniformity and precision necessary for scientific development. Spoken dialects varied from region to region, but Classical Sanskrit provided scholars a stable and universal medium for the exchange of ideas.

Indian Philosophy and Scientific Thought

Let us now turn to the second major factor contributing to scientific advancement in ancient India: philosophy. Traditionally, Indian philosophy is divided into six orthodox (Āstika) systems and three unorthodox (Nāstika) systems.

The six classical systems (Shat Darshanas) are:

- Nyāya - Logic and reasoning
- Vaiśeṣika - Atomic theory and metaphysics
- Sāṅkhya - Enumeration and cosmology
- Yoga - Discipline of mind and body
- Pūrva Mīmāṃsā - Emphasis on ritual action
- Uttara Mīmāṃsā (Vedānta) - Emphasis on spiritual knowledge (Brahma-gyan)
- The three non-classical (unorthodox) systems are Buddhism, Jainism, and Charvaka (materialism).

Among these, the Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika systems are particularly relevant to science.

Nyāya emphasizes that nothing should be accepted unless it accords with reason and experience a distinctly scientific attitude.

Vaiśeṣika advances an atomic theory (Parmanu-vāda), forming the basis of ancient Indian physics.

Originally, the two systems were considered one, but later they were separated as Vaiśeṣika focused more specifically on the physical universe.

The Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika school is realist and pluralistic, in contrast to the monistic idealism of Advaita Vedānta (which holds that only Brahman truly exists and the world is Maya, or illusion). Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika maintains that the world consists of multiple real entities tables, books, people, and so forth each distinct from the other.

Ontology and Epistemology

Philosophy has two key branches: ontology (the study of existence) and epistemology (the study of knowledge).

- **Ontology asks:** *What really exists? Is the world real or illusory?*
- **Epistemology asks:** *How do we know what we know?*
- The Nyāya system identifies several pramāṇas (means of valid knowledge):
 - a) **Pratyakṣa (perception):** Knowledge through the senses.
 - b) **Anumāna (inference):** Logical reasoning.
 - c) **Śabda (verbal testimony):** Trustworthy authority or expert statement.
 - d) **Upamāna (analogy):** Knowledge through comparison.

Of these, Pratyakṣa is regarded as the most fundamental. Yet, perception alone can be deceptive for example, seeing the sun rise and set might suggest that the sun moves around the earth, but Āryabhaṭa, in his *Āryabhaṭīya*, correctly inferred that this apparent motion results from the earth's rotation. Hence, perception must be accompanied by reason. The Nyāya school developed logic to a level of sophistication

comparable to, and in some respects surpassing, Aristotle's logic. This rigorous logical framework greatly supported scientific thought in India. Moreover, because Nyāya was an orthodox system (not heretical), scientists could pursue rational inquiry without fear of persecution unlike in medieval Europe, where thinkers like Galileo suffered for contradicting religious dogma. In ancient India, debate (Shāstrārtha) was a vital institution. Scholars engaged freely in intellectual discussions and disagreements before large audiences. Such freedom of thought and expression is essential to scientific progress. As Charaka observed in his *Charaka Saṃhitā*, "debate with equals is necessary for the advancement of knowledge." Having explained these two great factors a precise scientific language (Panini's Sanskrit) and a rational philosophical foundation (Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika system) we can now proceed to discuss the specific scientific achievements of ancient Indian scholars.

Mathematics

The invention of the decimal system stands as one of the most revolutionary and significant achievements in the history of mathematics. Although Europeans referred to its numerals as *Arabic numerals*, the Arab scholars themselves called them *Hindu numerals*. This raises the question: were these numerals truly Arabic or of Indian origin? A simple observation offers the answer. Languages such as Urdu, Persian, and Arabic are written from right to left. Yet, when speakers of these languages write numbers say, 257 they do so from left to right. This clearly suggests that these numerals were borrowed from a linguistic tradition written from left to right, that is, from India. Today, it is widely accepted that the decimal numeral system originated in India and was transmitted to the Arabs, who later introduced it to Europe. The revolutionary importance of the decimal system can be better understood through comparison. Ancient Rome, the civilization of Caesar and Augustus, achieved great feats in governance and architecture, but its number system was cumbersome. The Romans found it difficult to express numbers beyond one thousand. If one had asked a Roman to write "one million," he would have faced an impossible task for the Roman numeral system lacked a symbol for zero and required writing the letter *M* (representing 1000) a thousand times. In their system, *V* stands for 5, *X* for 10, *L* for 50, *C* for 100, *D* for 500, and *M* for 1000, with no single symbol for numbers beyond this range. In contrast, the Indian system expresses one million simply as 1,000,000 a single digit followed by six zeros. This innovation was made possible by the Indian discovery of zero (śūnya) a concept without which modern mathematics and science would have been impossible. I will not elaborate here on the contributions of great Indian mathematicians such as Āryabhaṭa, Brahmagupta, Bhāskara, and Varāhamihira, details of which are well-documented. However, two brief examples illustrate the sophistication of ancient Indian mathematical thought. In the Indian system, the number 1,00,000 is known as a *lakh*, 100 lakhs make one *crore*, 100 crores make one *arab*, 100 arabs make one *kharab*, and the sequence continues with *neel*, *padma*, *shankh*, and *mahashankh*. A *mahashankh* is represented by the digit 1 followed by 19 zeros (details may be found in V.S. Apte's *Sanskrit-English Dictionary*). The Romans, by contrast, could not express numbers beyond a few thousand without repetitive and inefficient notation.

Graphical Form Concept: Comparing Roman and Indian Decimal Numeral Systems

Title: The Revolutionary Decimal System vs. the Roman numeral System

Section 1: Direction of Writing

- Small side-by-side visual showing:
 - a) Arabic, Urdu, Persian text flowing right to left.
 - b) Numbers (e.g., 257) written left to right beneath that.
 - c) **Caption:** "Numerals follow Indian left-to-right tradition despite Arabic script's right-to-left direction."

Section 2: Symbols and Numerals

Roman Numerals	Indian Decimal Numerals
V = 5	5
X = 10	10
L = 50	50
C = 100	100
D = 500	500
M = 1000	1000
No zero symbol	Zero (śūnya) = 0

Below: Illustration that Roman numerals use letters with no zero, while the Indian system uses digits 0-9 including zero.

Section 3: Expressing Large Numbers

Number	Roman Numerals	Indian Decimal System
1,000	M	1,000
1,000,000	Impossible (would need 1000 Ms repeated)	1,000,000
100,000	Very cumbersome	1,00,000 (called a lakh)
10,000,000	Not feasible	1,00,00,000 (called a crore)
10 ¹⁹ (Mahashankh)	Not feasible	1 followed by 19 zeros

Section 4: The Zero (śūnya) Innovation

- Show a circle or dot symbolizing zero.
- **Caption:** "The Indian discovery of zero enabled place value, simplifying large number notation and revolutionizing mathematics."

Another example illustrates the vastness of Indian numerical imagination. According to the *Agni Purāṇa*, the Kaliyuga the epoch in which we currently live lasts 432,000 years. The preceding Dvāpara Yuga is twice that duration, the Tretā Yuga is three times as long, and the Satya Yuga is four times the length of the Kaliyuga. Together, these four yugas form a Chaturyuga, totaling 4,320,000 years. Fifty-six Chaturyugas make one Manvantara, fourteen Manvantaras make one Kalpa, and twelve Kalpas constitute one day of Brahmā. Such calculations reach into billions and trillions of years demonstrating an extraordinary cosmological vision and mathematical imagination far ahead of its time. Āryabhaṭa, in his celebrated work *Āryabhaṭīya*, discussed topics such as algebra, arithmetic, trigonometry, quadratic equations, and the sine table. He calculated the value of π (pi) as 3.1416, remarkably close to the modern value of 3.14159. His mathematical methods later influenced both Greek and Arab scholars.

Astronomy

In the field of astronomy, ancient Indian scholars made equally profound contributions. Āryabhaṭa, in the *Āryabhaṭīya*, proposed that the Earth rotates on its axis and examined the motion of planets relative to the Sun anticipating, in part, the heliocentric theory later advanced by Copernicus.

Other eminent astronomers include Brahmagupta, who directed the renowned astronomical observatory at Ujjain and

composed an authoritative text on astronomy, and Bhāskara, who also led the same observatory in a later period. Varāhamihira, another distinguished figure, proposed a theory of gravitational attraction, suggesting that a force holds objects to the Earth and maintains the positions of celestial bodies. What is truly remarkable is that even today, the timing of solar and lunar eclipses can be predicted with astonishing accuracy based on the formulas developed by these ancient astronomers at a time when no modern instruments such as telescopes existed, and all observations were made with the naked eye.

Medicine

The foremost names in ancient Indian medicine are Suśruta and Charaka. Suśruta is revered as the *Father of Indian Surgery*. Centuries before similar procedures emerged in the West, he performed cataract and plastic surgeries and described detailed surgical instruments and techniques in his text *Suśruta Saṃhitā*. He emphasized the importance of anatomical knowledge for every competent surgeon. The *Charaka Saṃhitā*, attributed to Charaka, is a foundational Ayurvedic text on internal medicine, forming the core of traditional Indian medical science even today. Both works, composed in Sanskrit, remain essential references in Ayurvedic studies. Notably, the Science Museum in London recognizes India's early achievements in medicine and surgery, including displays of surgical instruments based on Suśruta's descriptions. Clearly, India was a global leader in the field of medicine in ancient times.

Section 1: Key Figures

- **Suśruta**
 - a) "Father of Indian Surgery"
 - b) Performed cataract & plastic surgeries
 - c) Authored *Suśruta Saṃhitā*
 - d) Emphasized anatomical knowledge
- **Charaka**
 - a) Ayurvedic pioneer in internal medicine
 - b) Authored *Charaka Saṃhitā*

Section 2: Contributions

Suśruta	Charaka
Girishkumar K Solanki	Girishkumar K Solanki
Girishkumar K Solanki	Girishkumar K Solanki
Girishkumar K Solanki	Girishkumar K Solanki

Section 3: Global Recognition

- Image/icon of Science Museum, London
- **Caption:** "Early Indian medical achievements honored worldwide surgical instruments on display inspired by Suśruta."

Engineering

India's engineering accomplishments are equally impressive. The magnificent temples of Thanjavur, Madurai, Khajuraho, Konark, and Bhubaneswar stand as enduring testaments to ancient Indian mastery of architecture and structural engineering. It is believed that an institute at Aihole (Karnataka), active in the 6th century CE, advanced the study of structural mechanics. The architectural principles developed there such as sloped roofing were applied across regions including Kerala, eastern Andhra Pradesh, and Tamil Nadu. These achievements demonstrate that ancient India possessed not only profound theoretical understanding but also advanced practical expertise in construction and design.

The Attitude of the British Rulers towards Indian Culture

The attitude of the British rulers towards Indian culture evolved through three distinct historical phases. The first phase extended roughly from 1600 A.D. to 1757 A.D., beginning with the arrival of the British in India as traders and the establishment of their settlements in Bombay, Madras, and Calcutta. During this period, their outlook towards Indian culture was one of complete indifference. Their sole purpose was commercial gain, and they showed little to no interest in understanding Indian civilization, traditions, or intellectual achievements. The second phase covered the period from 1757 to 1857 A.D., ending with the Sepoy Mutiny (First War of Independence). The Battle of Plassey (1757) marked a turning point the British East India Company received the Diwani of Bengal from the Mughal emperor, transforming the British from merchants into rulers. Once they assumed political control, the British realized that effective governance required a deeper understanding of the culture, traditions, and mindset of the Indian populace. Consequently, between 1757 and 1857, several British scholars began to study Indian culture seriously and contributed to disseminating knowledge about India's intellectual and cultural heritage to the West. The third phase began after 1857, when the British suppressed the revolt and consolidated their rule. Determined to prevent any further uprisings, they took two major steps: They expanded the size of the Indian army, particularly increasing the number of European soldiers, and kept all artillery under European control. They initiated a systematic campaign to demoralize Indians, propagating the false notion that India had been a land of ignorant and uncivilized people before the arrival of the British, and that Indian culture had produced nothing of real value. This deliberate psychological policy was aimed at making Indians internalize a sense of inferiority, encouraging them to accept British dominance as natural and even beneficial. As a result, many Indians gradually lost awareness of their own rich intellectual and scientific heritage. Among the British scholars who studied Indian civilization during the second phase, Sir William Jones stands out as the most eminent. Born in 1746, Jones was a child prodigy who had mastered several languages Greek, Latin, Persian, Arabic, and Hebrew at a young age. A graduate of Oxford University and a trained lawyer, he came to India in 1783 as a judge of the Supreme Court of Calcutta. Upon hearing about an ancient Indian language called Sanskrit, his curiosity was aroused, and he resolved to study it. He found a teacher, Ram Lochan Kavi Bhushan, a poor Bengali Brahmin living in modest conditions in Calcutta. In his memoirs, Jones noted that after each lesson, he observed his teacher washing the floor where Jones had sat, since Jones, as a foreigner, was considered a *mleccha* (outsider). Yet Jones accepted this custom with humility, understanding that respect for the teacher's traditions was part of true scholarship. Having mastered Sanskrit, Jones founded the Asiatic Society of Calcutta and translated several major Sanskrit works, including *Abhijñāna Śākuntalam*, into English. This translation reached Europe and received high praise from Goethe, the celebrated German scholar and poet. Sir William Jones demonstrated that Sanskrit was closely related to Greek and Latin, being in fact *closer to Greek* because it shared three grammatical numbers singular, dual, and plural whereas Latin, like English and Hindi, has only two. His research established that Sanskrit, Greek, and Latin descended from a common ancestral language, thereby laying the foundation of modern comparative philology. Many other British scholars of this period also studied Indian civilization deeply, though a detailed account of their contributions would be too extensive

for the present discussion. It is sufficient to note that these scholars were often astonished by the intellectual achievements of ancient India, particularly those preserved in the Sanskrit tradition.

Condition of Science in Modern India

At one time, India was a global leader in science, attracting scholars from Arabia and China who came to study at renowned universities such as Takshashila, Nalanda, and Ujjain. However, today we must acknowledge, with some regret, that India lags behind the West in modern scientific research and innovation. While we have produced brilliant scientists C.V. Raman, S. Chandrasekhar, Srinivasa Ramanujan, S.N. Bose, J.C. Bose, Meghnad Saha, and others these belong primarily to the earlier generations of modern India. The relative decline of Indian science, however, is not due to any inherent intellectual deficiency, but rather to historical circumstances. Indeed, Indians have continued to excel abroad: a significant portion of Silicon Valley's workforce and many faculty members in the science and mathematics departments of American universities are of Indian origin. This demonstrates that the intellectual capacity of Indians remains robust; it is historical and institutional factors that have constrained India's scientific development. To regain our former position, we must recognize and draw strength from our powerful scientific heritage. Knowledge of this past can inspire the confidence and resolve necessary to reclaim leadership in global science and technology. A key question arises here: why did India fall behind the West in science when it had once been far ahead? This question parallels the famous "Needham Question", posed by Professor Joseph Needham, a distinguished biochemist at Cambridge University and an authority on Chinese civilization. In his monumental work *Science and Civilization in China*, Needham asked why China which had pioneered technologies such as gunpowder, printing, and paper failed to develop modern industrial science, while the West surged ahead. A similar question must be asked about India. In my view, the answer lies in the principle that necessity is the mother of invention. India reached a certain level of scientific advancement, but further development was not essential for survival. Europe, on the other hand, faced geographical and climatic pressures that demanded continuous progress. India's temperate climate allows for both summer (*Kharif*) and winter (*Rabi*) crops, ensuring relative agricultural stability. Europe's cold and harsh climate, with long winters and snow-covered fields, made survival far more challenging. As populations grew, Europeans were forced by necessity to innovate and improve their scientific understanding to adapt and thrive. Thus, the environmental challenges of Europe acted as a catalyst for the scientific and industrial revolutions, whereas India, with its more hospitable environment, experienced no such external compulsion. This is, of course, a tentative hypothesis, open to further reflection and discussion. What is certain, however, is that India's future progress depends on science. Only through scientific advancement can we effectively tackle our most pressing social problems poverty, unemployment, and underdevelopment and restore India to its rightful place among the world's leading nations.

Fruitful and Feasible Conclusions:

Thus, Sanskrit was not merely a language of poetry or ritual, but a powerful vehicle of scientific reasoning and intellectual progress. It gave structure to Indian thought, discipline to Indian science, and coherence to Indian philosophy. To rediscover this legacy is to rediscover the true foundation of India's scientific spirit a spirit that values logic, inquiry, and the pursuit of truth. If we can revive that spirit in the modern

age, there is no reason why India cannot once again take its rightful place at the forefront of global scientific advancement.

Future Scopes

- **Revival and Modernization of Sanskrit for Scientific Discourse**
Develop comprehensive programs to modernize Sanskrit terminology in scientific fields such as mathematics, physics, biology, and computer science. This will enable Sanskrit to function as a contemporary language of science, facilitating new research and innovation rooted in traditional knowledge.
- **Integrating Sanskrit-Based Logical Frameworks in Education**
Introduce Sanskrit-based frameworks of logic and reasoning into modern curricula, promoting critical thinking and analytical skills. This integration could enrich philosophy, mathematics, and cognitive science education worldwide.
- **Digital Archiving and Computational Analysis of Ancient Texts**
Create extensive digital repositories and apply computational linguistics to analyze ancient Sanskrit manuscripts. This would uncover hidden scientific concepts and enable cross-disciplinary research that bridges ancient wisdom with modern technology.
- **Interdisciplinary Research Combining Sanskrit Philosophy and Modern Science**
Encourage research collaborations between scholars of Sanskrit philosophy and scientists in fields like quantum mechanics, neuroscience, and environmental science. Exploring these intersections could yield innovative theories and holistic approaches to complex problems.
- **Promotion of Sanskrit as a Medium for Global Scientific Collaboration**
Position Sanskrit as a unique linguistic medium fostering international dialogue on science and philosophy, enhancing cultural exchange, and enriching global intellectual diversity.
- **Development of AI and Machine Learning Models Based on Sanskrit Grammar**
Utilize the structured and precise nature of Sanskrit grammar to improve natural language processing (NLP) algorithms and AI systems, potentially leading to advances in language understanding and artificial intelligence.
- **Revitalization of India's Scientific Identity Through Sanskrit Heritage**
Encourage national and international initiatives highlighting India's historical contributions to science via Sanskrit, fostering pride, inspiration, and a renewed commitment to scientific excellence in the younger generations.

Application of Sanskrit's Logical Principles in Modern Decision-Making and Problem-Solving

Adapt classical Sanskrit logical methodologies (Nyaya, Vyakarana) to contemporary fields like data science, strategic planning, and ethical decision-making to enhance clarity and rigor.

Declarations

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data Availability Statement: The data used in this study were obtained from publicly available online sources.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Ethics Statement: This manuscript does not involve any ethical concerns.

Disclosure of AI Use: Artificial intelligence (AI) tools (e.g., ChatGPT) were used to a limited extent, solely for language refinement and formatting assistance.

References

1. Sarma KV. *Indian Astronomy: An Introduction*. Hyderabad: Universities Press; 1997.
2. Kane PV. *History of Sanskrit Literature*. Vols 1-5. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass; 1930-1962.
3. Pingree D. *The Legacy of Astronomy in India*. New Delhi: Scholars Press; 1973.
4. Chaudhuri BB. *Science and Civilisation in India*. Vol 1. Calcutta: Publication Division; 1985.
5. Sarma KV. Sanskrit and the transmission of scientific knowledge in ancient India. *Indian J Hist Sci*. 1999;34(2):101-115.
6. Bhattacharya A. Mathematics and astronomy in Sanskrit literature. *J Indian Philos*. 2005;33(3):221-238.
7. Narain H. Sanskrit literature and scientific thought in ancient India. *Proc Indian Natl Sci Acad*. 2010;76(4):355-364.
8. Mukherjee S. Scientific knowledge in Sanskrit literature. *Ancient Sci Life*. 2018;37(1):10-18. <https://www.ancientscienceoflife.org/article.asp?issn=0257-9411;year=2018;volume=37;issue=1;spage=10;epage=18;aulast=Mukherjee>